

On the Effect of Friend Feedbacks

Albert Compta, Josep Ferrer and Marta Peña

Departament de Matemàtica Aplicada I, ETSEIB, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Diagonal 647, 08028 Barcelona, Spain

Abstract. Given \mathcal{S} an (A, B) -invariant subspace, we prove that the set of friend feedbacks is a $(nm - md + dq)$ -dimensional linear variety, which can be considered as the direct sum of the feedbacks of the restriction to \mathcal{S} and the co-restriction to \mathcal{S}^\perp . In particular, if (A, B) is controllable and \mathcal{S} is a controllability subspace, both pole assignments are simultaneously possible by means of a convenient friend feedback.

Keywords: Linear systems, (A, B) -invariant subspaces, friend feedbacks

PACS: 02.10.Ud, 07.05.Dz

INTRODUCTION

Given a finite-dimensional time invariant system

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}(t) &= Ax(t) + Bu(t) \\ y(t) &= Cx(t)\end{aligned}$$

$A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$, $B \in M_{n,m}(\mathbb{R})$, $C \in M_{r,n}(\mathbb{R})$, the notions of (A, B) -invariant and (C, A) -invariant subspaces, or controlled and conditioned invariant subspaces, respectively, were introduced in [1]. They play a fundamental role in geometric control theory (see, for example, [2]). We recall that a subspace \mathcal{S} is (A, B) -invariant if $A\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{S} + \text{Im} B$ and that the map $\mathcal{S} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}^\perp$ (the orthogonal to \mathcal{S}) is a bijection between the set of (A, B) and (B^t, A^t) -invariant subspaces.

In [2] one asks for the effects of feedbacks beyond the well-known use of shifting poles. For example, if the pair (A, B) is controllable, it is clear that a subfamily of feedbacks give the miniversal deformation of A in [3], that is to say, any Jordan form near the original one of A can be obtained by little feedbacks of this subfamily. It can be shown that the remainder feedbacks have no effect on the Jordan invariants of A because they are in fact a conjugation.

Here we focus in the subfamily of friend feedbacks with regard to an (A, B) -invariant subspace \mathcal{S} , that is, the feedbacks F such that $(A + BF)\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{S}$. For example, they appear in the Disturbance Decoupling Problem, when \mathcal{S} is chosen the maximal (A, B) -invariant subspace contained in $\text{Ker} C$. Our first step (Theorem 3) is showing that the set of friend feedbacks is a $(nm - md + dq)$ -dimensional linear variety, where $d = \dim \mathcal{S}$ and $q = \dim(\mathcal{S} \cap \text{Im} B)$.

The key point is that any friend feedback induces a feedback in the restriction of (A, B) to \mathcal{S} and in the so-called co-restriction of the dual pair (B^t, A^t) to the conditioned invariant subspace \mathcal{S}^\perp . The main result (Theorem 9) asserts that any both prescribed feedbacks on the restriction and the co-restriction can be induced simultaneously by a friend feedback, which is uniquely determined by these requirements. In particular, if (A, B) is controllable and \mathcal{S} is a controllability subspace, one can choose a friend feedback in order to obtain simultaneously prescribed pole assignment both in the restriction and the co-restriction (Corollary 11).

We make use of the following notation. We denote by \mathbb{R} the field of real numbers. We write $M_{n,m}(\mathbb{R})$ for the vector space of matrices with n rows and m columns with entries in \mathbb{R} . If $n = m$ we write simply $M_n(\mathbb{R})$. If M is a matrix we denote by M^t its transpose. If $M \in M_{n,m}(\mathbb{R})$ we identify M with the linear map $\mathbb{R}^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ defined in a natural way.

Throughout the paper, we consider $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ a subspace, \mathcal{S}^\perp its orthogonal, $d = \dim \mathcal{S}$ and X, X^\perp matrices of a basis of $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}^\perp$, respectively. We will consider pairs of matrices $(A, B) \in M_n(\mathbb{R}) \times M_{n,m}(\mathbb{R})$ and the block-equivalence between pairs of matrices, known as Brunovsky Kronecker (or BK)-equivalence. We will assume without loss of generality that B has full column rank m . Let $q = \dim(\mathcal{S} \cap \text{Im} B)$.

SET-UP

Exercise 5.6 in [2] considers the pair (A, B) and the (A, B) -invariant subspace \mathcal{S} :

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{S} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

One computes the set of the so-called “friend feedbacks”, that is to say, the matrices F such that $(A + BF)\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{S}$

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} f_{11} & f_{12} & f_{13} & f_{14} & f_{15} & f_{16} \\ f_{21} & f_{22} & f_{23} & f_{24} & f_{25} & f_{26} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & f_{34} & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and the corresponding restrictions of $A + BF$ to \mathcal{S}

$$(A + BF)|_{\mathcal{S}} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|cc} 1 + f_{21} & f_{22} & f_{23} & 2 + f_{21} + f_{25} & 2f_{21} + f_{26} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ f_{11} & -1 + f_{12} & f_{13} & f_{11} + f_{15} & 2f_{11} + f_{16} \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right), \quad (*)$$

where the upper left block is the restriction to the supremal controllability subspace in \mathcal{S} and, hence, the bottom right block is the quotient map. One pointed out that the spectrum of the first one is arbitrarily assignable by means of a suitable friend feedback, whereas no change is possible in the second spectrum. However, other possible effects of the parameters in F , mainly f_{14} , f_{24} and f_{34} , are not obvious.

Our approach is based on the “restriction” (\bar{A}, \bar{B}) of (A, B) to \mathcal{S} , and in the co-restriction (B^c, A^c) to \mathcal{S}^\perp . We will see that $(*)$ is the class of matrices $\bar{A} + \bar{B}\bar{F}$, and that the remainder parameters f_{14} , f_{24} and f_{34} define just the feedbacks F^c of the co-restriction (B^c, A^c) . In general, we will see that there is a bijection between the friend feedbacks F and the pairs (\bar{F}, F^c) of general feedbacks for the restriction and the co-restriction pairs.

FRIEND FEEDBACKS

We recall the basic definitions we will deal with (see, for example, [4]):

Definition 1 Given a pair (A, B) and a subspace $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$:

(1) $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an (A, B) -invariant subspace (or controlled invariant subspace) if there exists $F \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$(A + BF)\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{S}.$$

(2) This is equivalent to

$$(A^t + F^t B^t)\mathcal{S}^\perp \subset \mathcal{S}^\perp$$

saying that $\mathcal{S}^\perp \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a (B^t, A^t) -invariant subspace (or conditioned invariant subspace).

(3) The matrices $F \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$ verifying (1)-(2) are called friend feedbacks and we will denote the set of them by \mathcal{F} .

Remark 2 In our approach, it is convenient to reformulate the above condition (1) in matricial terms as follows: there exist $F \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$ and $R \in M_d(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$(A + BF)X = XR.$$

Our first goal is the geometric structure of the set of friend feedbacks.

Theorem 3 The set $\mathcal{F} \subset M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$ of friend feedbacks is a linear subvariety

$$\mathcal{F} = F_0 + \mathcal{F}_0,$$

where $F_0 \in \mathcal{F}$ is any fixed one and \mathcal{F}_0 a linear subspace having dimension

$$\dim \mathcal{F}_0 = m(n-d) + dq.$$

Example 4 For the example considered in the Set-up, we have:

$$F_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{S} \cap \text{Im} B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \dim \mathcal{F}_0 = 3(6-5) + 5 \cdot 2 = 13.$$

RESTRICTION AND CO-RESTRICTION

The effects of the friend feedbacks will be reflected in the “restriction” of (A, B) to \mathcal{S} (see, for example, [5], [6]) and the “co-restriction” of (B^t, A^t) to \mathcal{S}^\perp , which we define in a natural way:

Definition 5 Let \mathcal{S} be an (A, B) -invariant subspace.

- (1) We call restriction of (A, B) to \mathcal{S} the set of pairs $\{(\bar{A}, \bar{B})\} \subset M_d(\mathbb{R}) \times M_{d,q}(\mathbb{R})$ obtained as follows when varying $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and the bases in \mathcal{S} and in $\mathcal{S} \cap \text{Im} B$:

For a fixed basis X of \mathcal{S} and a fixed friend feedback F , \bar{A}_{XF} or simply \bar{A} means the matrix of $A + BF$ restricted to \mathcal{S} in the basis X or, equivalently,

$$(A + BF)X = X\bar{A}.$$

In particular, we write \bar{A}_0 the one corresponding to the fixed $F_0 \in \mathcal{F}$.

The matrices \bar{B} are defined simply by:

$$X\bar{B} \text{ is a basis of } \mathcal{S} \cap \text{Im} B.$$

We will refer to each of the above pairs (\bar{A}, \bar{B}) as a restriction of (A, B) to \mathcal{S} .

- (2) We call the co-restriction of (A, B) to \mathcal{S}^\perp the set of pairs $\{(B^c, A^c)\} \subset M_{m,n-d}(\mathbb{R}) \times M_{n-d}(\mathbb{R})$ obtained as follows when varying $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and the basis in \mathcal{S}^\perp :

For a fixed basis X^\perp of \mathcal{S}^\perp and a fixed friend feedback F , $A_{X^\perp F^t}^c$ or simply A^c means the matrix of $A^t + F^t B^t$ restricted to \mathcal{S}^\perp in the basis X^\perp or, equivalently,

$$(A^t + F^t B^t)X^\perp = X^\perp A^c.$$

In particular, we write A_0^c the one corresponding to the fixed $F_0 \in \mathcal{F}$.

Analogously, B^c means the matrix of B^t in the basis X^\perp or, equivalently,

$$B^c = B^t X^\perp.$$

We will refer to each of the above pairs (B^c, A^c) as a co-restriction of (A, B) to \mathcal{S}^\perp .

Remark 6 (1) Remark 2 warrants the above definition of \bar{A} . Analogously for A^c .

- (2) Clearly, \bar{B} has maximal rank, but not, in general, B^c : $\text{rank } \bar{B} = q$, $\text{rank } B^c = \text{rank } B - \text{rank } \bar{B} = m - q$.

- (3) Clearly, $X\bar{B} = BH$ for some $H \in M_{m,q}(\mathbb{R})$.

- (4) Then the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m & \xrightarrow{(A,B)} & \mathbb{R}^n \\ \left(\begin{array}{cc} X & 0 \\ FX & H \end{array} \right) \uparrow & & \uparrow X \\ \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^q & \xrightarrow{(\bar{A}, \bar{B})} & \mathbb{R}^d \end{array}$$

shows that the above definition is just the one in [6].

Example 7 For the above example, we have:

$$(1) \bar{A}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$(2) X^\perp = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_0^c = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B^c = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Indeed, the restrictions (\bar{A}, \bar{B}) and the co-restrictions (B^c, A^c) are unique up to BK-equivalence:

Proposition 8 With the notations in Definition 5:

- (1) The restriction $\{(\bar{A}, \bar{B})\}$ is a BK-class in the set of linear maps $\mathcal{S} \times \mathbb{R}^m \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}$.
- (2) The co-restriction $\{(B^c, A^c)\}$ is a BK-class in the set of linear maps $\mathcal{S}^\perp \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}^\perp \times \mathbb{R}^m$.

Now we can state our main theorem: there is a bijection between the friend feedbacks \mathcal{F} and the pairs (\bar{F}, F^c) of general feedbacks for the restriction and the co-restriction pairs:

Theorem 9 Given \mathcal{S} an (A, B) -invariant subspace, let (\bar{A}_0, \bar{B}) be a restriction and (B^c, A_0^c) a co-restriction with regard to fixed bases of \mathcal{S} , \mathcal{S}^\perp and \mathbb{R}^m , and a fixed friend feedback $F_0 \in \mathcal{F}$. Then, there are a direct decomposition of \mathcal{F}_0

$$\mathcal{F} = F_0 + (\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_0 \oplus \mathcal{F}_0^c),$$

and isomorphisms $\varphi : M_{q,d}(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_0$, $\psi : M_{m,n-d}(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_0^c$,

such that $(A + B(F_0 + \varphi(\tilde{F})))X = X(\bar{A}_0 + \bar{B}\tilde{F})$, $(A^t + (F_0 + \psi(F^c))^t B^t)X^\perp = X^\perp(A_0^c + F^c B^c)$.

That is to say: any friend feedback F of \mathcal{S} induces feedbacks of (\bar{A}_0, \bar{B}) and (B^c, A_0^c) ; and conversely, for \tilde{F} and F^c prescribed feedbacks of (\bar{A}_0, \bar{B}) and (B^c, A_0^c) , respectively, there is a unique friend feedback which induces \tilde{F} and F^c .

Example 10 For the above example, we have that a friend feedback induces:

$$\bar{A}_0 + \begin{pmatrix} f_{21} & f_{22} & f_{23} & f_{21} + f_{25} & 2f_{21} + f_{26} \\ f_{11} & f_{12} & f_{13} & f_{11} + f_{15} & 2f_{11} + f_{16} \end{pmatrix} \bar{B}, \quad A_0^c + \begin{pmatrix} f_{24} & f_{14} & f_{34} \end{pmatrix} B^c.$$

As an application, simultaneous pole assignments in (\bar{A}, \bar{B}) and (B^t, A^t) can be attempted by a friend feedback when natural controllability hypotheses hold.

Corollary 11 In the conditions of Theorem 9, assume that (A, B) is controllable and \mathcal{S} is a controllability subspace. Then there exists a friend feedback such that the spectrum of \bar{A} and A^c can be shifted to prescribed ones by means of the induced feedbacks.

REFERENCES

1. G. Basile and G. Marro, Controlled and conditioned invariant subspaces in linear system theory, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.*, **3**, 306–315, (1969).
2. W.M. Wonham, *Linear Multivariable Control: A Geometric Approach*, Springer, New York, (1979).
3. V.I. Arnold, On Matrices Depending on Parameters, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk.*, **26**, 101–114 (1971).
4. I. Gohberg, P. Lancaster and L. Rodman, *Invariant Subspaces of Matrices with Applications*, Wiley, New York, (1986).
5. I. Baragaña and I. Zaballa, Block similarity invariants of restrictions to (A, B) -invariant subspaces, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **220**, 31–62 (1995).
6. F. Puerta, X. Puerta and I. Zaballa, On the parametrization of the controllability subspaces of a controllable pair, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **401**, 429–444 (2005).
7. F. Puerta and X. Puerta, On the geometry of the set of controllability subspaces of a pair (A, B) , *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **351–352**, 585–599 (2002).